### Emergence of galactic structure

Oleg Gnedin University of Michigan



### In current simulations, galaxies look like this:



Disk galaxy at z=3: stars, molecular gas, atomic gas Zemp, OG, N. Gnedin, Kravtsov (2012)

### Real galaxies look like this:

(more structure, extended disks, young stars, gas emission, dust lanes)



# Which results are model-dependent and which are not?

### Stronger (measured) primordial fluctuations on small scales determine that low-mass *halos* form before high-mass *halos*



Once the spectrum of fluctuations is known, and phases are Gaussian, cosmic structure can be calculated without any free parameters (although DM self-interaction could make a small difference later at centers of dwarf galaxies)

#### How do we study the formation of galaxies? With numerical simulations



Numerical techniques are borrowed from aerospace engineering and well tested



Large-scale structure: distant galaxy surveys

Blue is observations, Red is simulations: *very similar* 



### **Missing Satellite Galaxies**

Klypin et al. 1999, Moore et al. 1999





>10<sup>5</sup> identified subhalos

Aquarius simulation

25 satellite galaxies  $(L_V > 10^5 L_{\odot})$ 

Matching numbers of halos and galaxies indicates that star formation is inefficient, especially at low and high masses



stellar mass/halo mass

Behroozi et al. 2012

Gravity is the easy part. Ingredients of galaxy simulations:

CDM model: provides well-motivated initial conditions

dark matter: dominates gravitationally on scales > kpc, shapes skeleton of the large-scale structure and galaxy potential wells, in which baryonic drama of galaxy formation plays out

radiative cooling: shocks and UV radiation heat the baryons, but dissipative particle collisions allow baryonic matter to radiate away its thermal energy and sink to the center of the potential well, where it can reach high enough density required for star formation

star formation: although we do not yet have a complete understanding of star formation, empirically we know that stars form in densest, molecular regions of the interstellar medium

**stellar feedback:** newly born stars inject energy and metals released during thermonuclear burning back to the interstellar medium and thus regulate formation of future stars

### Young star clusters: test bed of star formation and feedback physics



Lifetime of molecular clouds is set by the formation within them of massive stars and star clusters

Detailed structure of galaxies, their star formation histories, number of satellites, etc. are necessarily model-dependent.

It is work in progress for the next 10-20 years.

Cosmological hydrodynamic simulations with run-time treatment of H<sub>2</sub> chemistry, stellar feedback, and radiative transfer

- Adaptive Mesh Refinement ART code
- star formation in molecular gas, supernovae feedback and metal enrichment, stellar mass loss
- radiative cooling and heating: Compton, UV background, with density and metallicity dependent rates
- 3D radiative transfer
- H2 formation on dust grains/destruction by UV, with self-shielding and shielding by dust
  - (N. Gnedin & Kravtsov 2011)



$$\frac{\partial n_j}{\partial t} + 3Hn_j + \frac{1}{a} \operatorname{div}_x(n_j \vec{v}) = \vec{\mathcal{I}}_j + \vec{\mathcal{M}}_j + \vec{\mathcal{D}}_j,$$
  
onization by cosmic and local  
interstellar UV flux  
atomic and dust  
molecular chemistry chemistry

# Young star clusters are dominant components of very active star formation



Adamo et al. 2015

Fraction of all young stars contained in massive star clusters increases with the intensity of star formation, up to 50-60%

### **Spatial Distribution of Star Clusters**



Young star clusters age < 15 Myr

Massive clusters mass >  $10^5 M_{sun}$ 

#### **Initial Mass Function of Young Clusters**



Cosmological simulation of a Milky Way sized-galaxy (Li & OG 2015):

- After a gas-rich merger event, MF of new clusters is a power law as observed for young star clusters
- Fewer massive clusters between mergers

Gas-rich mergers trigger massive cluster formation



Muratov & Gnedin 2010, Li & Gnedin 2014

# Cooling of cosmic gas changes the structure of dark matter halos: halo shape turns from triaxial to round



In the inner regions where baryons dominate mass, halo becomes rounder (Kazantzidis et al. 2004, and many others since)



#### Inner part of DM halo is aligned with the baryon disk, outer part retains memory of dissipationless formation (halo twists with radius)



More recent simulation with non-equilibrium  $H_2$  chemistry, metal-line cooling, 3D radiative transfer (Zemp et al. 2012)

### In addition to change in spatial distribution, inner dark matter gains angular momentum from the baryons



Ratio of angular momentum of DM in simulation with gas cooling, star formation and feeeback to dissipationless run

## Velocity distribution is close to isotropic, instead of radially-biased as in N-body sims.



B: without gas cooling or star formation

A: with cooling, star formation, radiative transfer, SN feeeback Zemp et al. (2012) with high SF threshold but weak feedback: significant steeping of inner dark matter profile, to approximately isothermal  $\gamma \approx 2$ 



### Summary

- Power spectrum of primordial fluctuations is measured well enough to calculate the emergence of cosmic structure *remaining uncertainty affects only dwarf galaxies*
- Predicting structure of galaxies (stars and gas) is necessarily model-dependent *formation of stars and their feedback are very uncertain*
- Star clusters are dominant components of active star formation *provide important tests for modeling baryon physics*
- Baryons make halo shape more round at stellar half-light radius, but not at virial radius
- Dark matter halo rotates slowly gains angular momentum from collapsing baryons