# Beyond Annual Modulation at WIMP Direct-Detection Experiments

#### Samuel Lee Princeton Center for Theoretical Science PACIFIC 2014 September 15, 2014

- Basics of WIMP direct detection & annual modulation
- CoGeNT and DAMA modulation signals
- A more detailed treatment of modulation?
  - Gravitational focusing of WIMPs (and cosmic neutrinos)
  - Higher-harmonic modes



#### Direct detection of WIMP-induced nuclear recoils via phonons, scintillation, ionization, etc.

Event rate given by



Event rate given by



Event rate given by



Minimum lab-frame WIMP speed required to induce nuclear recoil with energy  ${\cal E}$ 

$$v_{\min} = \sqrt{rac{m_N E}{2 \mu_{\chi N}^2}}$$

Event rate depends on lab-frame WIMP velocity distribution

 $f(\mathbf{v},t) = g(\mathbf{v} + \mathbf{v}_{\text{lab}}(t))$ 

Event rate depends on lab-frame WIMP velocity distribution

$$f(\mathbf{v},t) = g(\mathbf{v} + \mathbf{v}_{\text{lab}}(t))$$

Standard Halo Model (truncated Maxwellian) generally assumed for galactic-frame velocity distribution



Event rate depends on lab-frame WIMP velocity distribution

$$f(\mathbf{v},t) = g(\mathbf{v} + \mathbf{v}_{\text{lab}}(t))$$

Standard Halo Model (truncated Maxwellian) generally assumed for galactic-frame velocity distribution

$$g(\mathbf{v}) \propto e^{-v^2/v_0^2} \theta(v_{\rm esc} - v)$$

 $v_0 = 220 \text{ km/s}, \quad v_{\text{esc}} \approx 550 \text{ km/s}$ 

Event rate can be written as

$$\frac{dR}{dE} \propto \int_{v_{\min}(E)}^{\infty} d^3 v \, \frac{f(\mathbf{v})}{v} \approx A_0(E)$$

For SHM, and typical WIMP and experiments,

$$A_0(E) \propto e^{-E/E_0}, \quad E_0 \approx 10 \text{ keV}$$





Modulation of event rate can be written as

$$\frac{dR}{dE}(t) \propto \int_{v_{\min}(E)}^{\infty} d^3v \, \frac{f(\mathbf{v}, t)}{v} \approx A_0(E) + A_1(E) \cos[\omega(t - t_{\max})]$$

For SHM, and typical WIMP and experiments,

 $A_0(E) \propto e^{-E/E_0}, \quad E_0 \approx 10 \text{ keV}, \quad \bar{A}_1/\bar{A}_0 \approx \text{few}\%, \quad t_{\text{max}} \approx \text{June 1}$ 

#### Modulation at DAMA: Signal







- Exposure of ~ton-year at NaI target
- $\sim 9\sigma$  annual modulation of single-hit residuals at 2–6 keVee
- Assuming SHM, 10 GeV and 80 GeV ROIs allowed
- Phase compatible, fractional amplitude not measured

#### Modulation at CoGeNT: Signal

- Calculating EC BG:
  - $T = 336 \pm 24$  days
  - $S = 12.4 \pm 5\%$  $\rightarrow \overline{A_1}/\overline{A_0} \approx 35\%$
- Fitting EC BG:
  - $T = 350 \pm 20$  days
  - $S = 21.7 \pm 15\%$  $\to \bar{A}_1/\bar{A}_0 \approx 62\%$
- Fixing T = 1 year:  $t_{\text{max}} = \text{April } 13 \pm 47 \text{ days}$



- Exposure of 1,129 live days at Ge target
- Events in low-energy (0.5–2.0 keVee) and high-energy (2.0–4.5 keVee) bins
- Improved bulk/surface discrimination via rise-time cuts
- $\sim 2.2\sigma$  annual modulation in low-energy, bulk events (decrease from  $\sim 2.8\sigma$ )
- For SHM, best fit by  $\sim 8 \text{ GeV} \& 2 \times 10^{-41} \text{ cm}^2$
- Phase compatible, but fractional amplitude  $\sim$ 4-7x larger

#### Exclusion at Other Experiments?



Billard et al. 2013 (and others...)

- Making modulation a better smoking gun?
- Moving towards a holistic understanding of dark-matter particle physics and astrophysics
- Sensitivity to velocity substructures (disk, streams, etc.)
- May extend reach below neutrino floor (if necessary...)

Galilean transformation relates lab and galactic frame

$$\begin{aligned} f(\mathbf{v},t) &= g(\mathbf{v} + \mathbf{v}_{\text{lab}}(t)) \\ &= g(\mathbf{v} + \mathbf{v}_{\odot} + \mathbf{v}_{\oplus}(t)) \end{aligned}$$

# Event rate $\frac{dR}{dE}(t)$ proportional to integral of

 $\frac{f(\mathbf{v},t)}{v}$ 



## Event rate $\frac{dR}{dE}(t)$ proportional to integral of

 $\frac{f(\mathbf{v},t)}{v}$ 

Event rate  $\frac{dR}{dE}(t)$  proportional to integral of  $\frac{f(\mathbf{v},t)}{v}$ 

integrated over  $v \ge v_{\min}(E)$ 







Samuel Lee Beyond Annual Modulation 15/29

Phase of the modulation flips: maximum of event rate in December (June) at low (high)  $v_{\min}$ 



Sun's gravitational potential induces comparable modulation (for slowly moving WIMPs)



SKL, Mariangela Lisanti, Annika Peter, Ben Safdi 2013

#### joepoppa

January 6, 2014, 2:35 PM

At long last, we know something definite about dark matter: it hibernates, during the winter. That, alone, should yield a wealth of clues. I think the reason dark matter is most noticeable, come March, is that long hibernation has made it VERY hungry. What they should now do is launch a thorough investigation into bear hibernation, and that might afford more clues into dark matter hibernation.



SKL, Mariangela Lisanti, Annika Peter, Ben Safdi 2013

Non-Galilean transformation relates lab and galactic frames

$$\begin{array}{lcl} f(\mathbf{v},t) &=& g(\mathbf{v}_{\infty} \left[\mathbf{v} + \mathbf{v}_{\oplus}(t), t\right] + \mathbf{v}_{\odot}) \\ \mathbf{v}_{\infty}[\mathbf{v}_{\mathbf{s}},t] &=& \frac{v_{\infty}^2 \mathbf{v}_{\mathbf{s}} + v_{\infty} (G M_{\odot}/r_s) \hat{\mathbf{r}}_{\mathbf{s}} - v_{\infty} \mathbf{v}_{\mathbf{s}} (\mathbf{v}_{\mathbf{s}} \cdot \hat{\mathbf{r}}_{\mathbf{s}})}{v_{\infty}^2 + G M_{\odot}/r_s - v_{\infty} (\mathbf{v}_{\mathbf{s}} \cdot \hat{\mathbf{r}}_{\mathbf{s}})} \end{array}$$



Alenazi and Gondolo 2006

Non-Galilean transformation relates lab and galactic frames

$$\begin{aligned} f(\mathbf{v},t) &= g(\mathbf{v}_{\infty} \left[ \mathbf{v} + \mathbf{v}_{\oplus}(t), t \right] + \mathbf{v}_{\odot} \right) \\ \mathbf{v}_{\infty} [\mathbf{v}_{\mathbf{s}},t] &= \frac{v_{\infty}^2 \mathbf{v}_{\mathbf{s}} + v_{\infty} (G M_{\odot}/r_s) \mathbf{\hat{r}}_{\mathbf{s}} - v_{\infty} \mathbf{v}_{\mathbf{s}} (\mathbf{v}_{\mathbf{s}} \cdot \mathbf{\hat{r}}_{\mathbf{s}})}{v_{\infty}^2 + G M_{\odot}/r_s - v_{\infty} (\mathbf{v}_{\mathbf{s}} \cdot \mathbf{\hat{r}}_{\mathbf{s}})} \end{aligned}$$

Non-Galilean transformation relates lab and galactic frames

$$\begin{aligned} f(\mathbf{v},t) &= g(\mathbf{v}_{\infty} \left[ \mathbf{v} + \mathbf{v}_{\oplus}(t), t \right] + \mathbf{v}_{\odot} \right) \\ \mathbf{v}_{\infty} [\mathbf{v}_{\mathbf{s}},t] &= \frac{v_{\infty}^2 \mathbf{v}_{\mathbf{s}} + v_{\infty} (G M_{\odot}/r_s) \mathbf{\hat{r}}_{\mathbf{s}} - v_{\infty} \mathbf{v}_{\mathbf{s}} (\mathbf{v}_{\mathbf{s}} \cdot \mathbf{\hat{r}}_{\mathbf{s}})}{v_{\infty}^2 + G M_{\odot}/r_s - v_{\infty} (\mathbf{v}_{\mathbf{s}} \cdot \mathbf{\hat{r}}_{\mathbf{s}})} \end{aligned}$$

#### Gravitational focusing results in an ENERGY-DEPENDENT MODULATION PHASE



# Gravitational focusing results in an ENERGY-DEPENDENT MODULATION PHASE



Smoking gun 2.0 – but low energy thresholds required!



Samuel Lee Beyond Annual Modulation 21/29

#### Modulation 201: GF and DAMA?



#### Modulation 201: GF and Dark Disk

Co-rotating dark-disk scenarios with slowly moving WIMPs will be especially sensitive to GF



SKL, Lisanti, Peter, Safdi in prep

Modulation 201: GF and Cosmic Neutrinos

GF is solely responsible for modulation of cosmic neutrinos, which may be detected via velocity-independent capture  $\nu_e + N \rightarrow N' + e$ 



Safdi, Lisanti, Spitz, Formaggio 2014

Higher modes are generically present, but suppressed by  $\epsilon = \frac{v_{\oplus}}{4v_{\odot}}$ Circular orbit usually assumed, leading to cosine expansion

$$\frac{dR}{dE}(t) = A_0(E) + \sum_n A_n(E) \cos[n\omega(t - t_{\max})]$$

with  $A_n/A_0 \sim \epsilon^n$  and  $MT(A_n)/MT(A_0) \sim \epsilon^{-2n}$ 

Consistent expansion should include eccentricity  $e = 0.017 \sim \epsilon$ Elliptical orbit (correcting Lewin and Smith 1996) leads to

$$\frac{dR}{dE}(t) = A_0(E) + \sum_n A_n(E) \cos[n\omega(t - t_{\max})] + \sum_n B_n(E) \sin[n\omega(t - t_{\max})]$$

with  $B_n/A_0 \sim \epsilon^n$  and  $MT(B_n)/MT(B_0) \sim \epsilon^{-2n}$  (except n = 1)



SKL, Lisanti, Safdi 2013

Harmonic structure is typically related to orbital parameters

$$\frac{B_1(E)}{A_1(E)} \approx 2e\sin(\lambda_p - w\phi) \approx \frac{1}{59}$$
$$\frac{B_2(E)}{B_1(E)} \approx \frac{1}{2}$$



SKL, Lisanti, Safdi 2013

Harmonic structure is typically related to orbital parameters

$$\frac{B_1(E)}{A_1(E)} \approx 2e\sin(\lambda_p - w\phi) \approx \frac{1}{59}$$
$$\frac{B_2(E)}{B_1(E)} \approx \frac{1}{2}$$

Relations can be used to test presence of velocity substructure



SKL, Lisanti, Safdi 2013

Higher harmonics can be enhanced in various scenarios



Higher harmonics can be enhanced in various scenarios



Higher harmonics can be enhanced in various scenarios



Orbit of the earth and DM velocity distribution both leave UNIQUE IMPRINT ON HARMONIC STRUCTURE

- Confusion surrounding hints of signals motivates a more detailed consideration of modulation
- Higher-order effects can be powerful and informative discriminators
  - Gravitational focusing by sun  $\rightarrow$  energy-dependent phase
  - $\bullet\,$  Details of earth's orbit  $\to$  structure of higher harmonics
- Low thresholds (GF) or large exposures (harmonics)
- Time dependence provides a key axis (along with directional and material signals) for DM detection and characterization!