# Creation of an Tnflationary Universe in the final stage of Black Mole evaporation 
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## Why is Our Universe Big, Old, and full of structures?

# All of them are big mysteries in the context of evolving Universe. 

inflation

Rapid Accelerated Inflationary Expansion in the early Universe can solve The Horizon Problem

Why is our Universe Big?
The Flatness Problem
Why is our Universe Old?
The Monopole/Relic Problem
Why is our Universe free from exotic relics?
The Origin-of-Structure Problem
Why is our Universe full of structures?

The last 50~60 e-folds of inflationary expansion has been probed by high precision observations such as those conducted by WMAP, Planck etc., and they are highly consistent with the theory.



## But it is totally unknown how inflation started in our Universe.

## Out of quantum gravity regime?

Likely, but not necessarilly/

## Many inflation n multiproduction



Physics Lette
Volume 108, Issue 2, 14 January 1

Multi-production of universes by first-o vacuum

Katsuhiko Sato ${ }^{1}$, Hideo Kodama ${ }^{1}$, Misao Sasaki ${ }^{\text {a }}$, Kei-ichi M
${ }^{1}$ Department of Physics, Kyoto University, Kyoto 606, Japan
${ }^{a}$ Research Institute for Fundamental Physics, Kyoto University, Kyoto 606, Japan


380kvr

## Then a question arises:

Is our Universe of $1^{\text {st }}$ generation?


# If dark energy is $\wedge$,our Universe will be asymptotically de Sitter. 

first star

## Inflation $\cong$ de Sitter expansion

# De Sitter space can tunnel to another de Sitter space with a different $\wedge$. 



Hawking and Moss (1983)
Lee and Weinberg (1987)
multiverse
string landscape...

A big universe filled with tiny dark energy

## Quantum A small universe Tunneling filled with large inflaton energy

## The Hawking Moss Instanton

An $O(4)$ symmetric bounce solution

$$
d s^{2}=d \xi^{2}+\rho(\xi)^{2} d \Omega_{\mathrm{III}}^{2}
$$

Euclidean action of the Einstein scalar theory


$$
\begin{aligned}
& I_{\mathrm{E}}=2 \pi^{2} \int d \xi\left[\rho^{3}\left(\frac{1}{2} \dot{\phi}^{2}+V(\phi)\right)+\frac{3}{8 \pi G}\left(\rho^{2} \ddot{\rho}+\rho \dot{\rho}^{2}-\rho\right)\right] \quad \dot{\rho}=\frac{d \rho}{d \xi} \\
& \ddot{\phi}+\frac{3 \dot{\rho}}{\rho} \dot{\phi}=\frac{d V}{d \phi}, \\
& \text { Static scalar field } \quad \dot{\phi}=\ddot{\phi}=\frac{d V}{d \phi}=0 \\
& \dot{\rho}^{2}=1+\frac{8 \pi G}{3} \rho^{2}\left(\frac{1}{2} \dot{\phi}^{2}-V\right) \text { configuration } \dot{\rho}^{2}=1-\frac{8 \pi G}{3} \rho^{2} V
\end{aligned}
$$

Solution

$$
\begin{aligned}
\rho(\xi) & =H_{\mathrm{s}_{-}^{-1}}^{-1} \sin \left(H_{\mathrm{s}} \xi\right), \\
H_{\mathrm{s}}^{2} & \equiv \frac{8 \pi G}{3} V\left(\phi_{\mathrm{s}}\right),
\end{aligned}
$$

Euclidean action

$$
I_{\mathrm{E}}\left(\phi_{\mathrm{s}}\right)=-\frac{3}{8 G^{2} V\left(\phi_{\mathbf{s}}\right)}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
\Gamma_{\mathrm{fv} \rightarrow \mathrm{top}}=A e^{-B_{\mathrm{HM}}} & =A \exp \left[-I_{\mathrm{E}}\left(\phi_{\mathrm{top}}\right)+I_{\mathrm{E}}\left(\phi_{\mathrm{fv}}\right)\right] \\
& =A \exp \left[\frac{3}{8 G^{2}}\left(\frac{1}{V\left(\phi_{\mathrm{top}}\right)}-\frac{1}{V\left(\phi_{\mathrm{fv}}\right)}\right)\right]
\end{aligned}
$$

If $\Delta V \equiv V\left(\phi_{\text {top }}\right)-V\left(\phi_{f v}\right) \ll V\left(\phi_{\text {top }}\right)$, so that the change of the geometry is negligible, we find

$$
B_{H M} \cong \frac{3 \Delta V}{8 G^{2} V^{2}\left(\phi_{f v}\right)}=\frac{8 \pi^{2}}{3} H_{f v}^{-4} \Delta V=\frac{4 \pi}{3} H_{f v}^{-3} \frac{\Delta V}{T_{H}}
$$

with

$$
T_{H}=\frac{H\left(\phi_{f v}\right)}{2 \pi}
$$

Hawking Temperature of De Sitter space


$$
H_{f v}^{2}=\frac{8 \pi G}{3} V\left(\phi_{f v}\right)
$$

Thus this process can be interpreted as a thermal transition with the Hawking temperature of de Sitter space, but only approximately.

In fact, the Hawking-Moss bounce can be interpreted solely by gravitational (Bekenstein, Horizon) entropy.

Horizon area

$$
A=4 \pi H^{-2}=4 \pi\left(\frac{8 \pi G V\left(\phi_{s}\right)}{3}\right)^{-1}=\frac{3}{2 G V\left(\phi_{s}\right)}
$$

Horizon entropy

$$
S=\frac{A}{4 G}=\frac{3}{8 G^{2} V\left(\phi_{s}\right)} \Longleftrightarrow I_{\mathrm{E}}\left(\phi_{\mathrm{s}}\right)=-\frac{3}{8 G^{2} V\left(\phi_{\mathrm{s}}\right)}
$$

This result can be obtained by calculating the Euclidean action using static coordinate with which "bulk Lagrangian" vanishes due to Hamiltonian constraint, so that only horizon contribution remains.
Schematically: $\mathcal{L}=p q \underbrace{\dot{q}}_{=0}-\mathcal{H}=0$

The horizon contribution arises because...
Euclidean De Sitter space in static representation $\quad \tilde{t}$ : Euclidean time

$$
d \tilde{s}^{2}=\tilde{g}_{\mu \nu} d x^{\mu} d x^{\nu}=\left(1-H^{2} r^{2}\right) d \tilde{t}^{2}+\frac{d r^{2}}{1-H^{2} r^{2}}+r^{2} d \Omega_{\mathrm{II}}^{2}
$$

$$
\text { Lapse function } N=\sqrt{1-H^{2} r^{2}}
$$

unit 2 sphere

In this Euclidean description, the horizon $r=H^{-1}$ is a coordinate singularity similar to the origin in the 2D polar coordinate where $\tilde{t}$ behaves like an angular variable $\theta$.

We regularize the coordinate singularity at the horizon introducing a hypothetical surface at $r=H^{-1}-\epsilon$.

The surface integral

$$
I_{\mathrm{E} \text { static }}^{(\mathrm{tot})}=-\int_{\mathrm{S}} d \tilde{t} d^{2} x \sqrt{\sigma}\left(\frac{n^{i} \partial_{i} N}{8 \pi G}\right)
$$

gives rise to the desired result.


$$
\begin{aligned}
\Gamma_{\mathrm{fv} \rightarrow \mathrm{top}}=A e^{-B_{\mathrm{HM}}} & =A \exp \left[-I_{\mathrm{E}}\left(\phi_{\mathrm{top}}\right)+I_{\mathrm{E}}\left(\phi_{\mathrm{fv}}\right)\right] \\
& =A \exp \left[\frac{3}{8 G^{2}}\left(\frac{1}{V\left(\phi_{\mathrm{top}}\right)}-\frac{1}{V\left(\phi_{\mathrm{fv}}\right)}\right)\right] \\
& =A \exp \left[S\left(\phi_{\mathrm{top}}\right)-S\left(\phi_{\mathrm{fv}}\right)\right]
\end{aligned}
$$

In this picture transition to the potential top is suppressed NOT because it has larger energy density BUT because it has smaller entropy.
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A big universe filled with tiny dark energy

## Quantum A small universe Tunneling filled with large inflaton energy

## Reincarnetion oft the universe

The universe can recycle itself to another universe with possibly different properties.

We may not have to consider the real beginning of the universe.
This scenario prefers a pure cosmological constant/vacuum energy with $w=-1$.


FIG. 1. True vacuum bubbles (white) nucleating in false vacuum (black). The shaded rings represent slow roll regions (external ring) and matter or radiation dominated regions (internal ring).

So far only phase transitions between two pure de Sitter space have been considered in this context.

## filled with tink

## But usually, phase transitions occur around some impurities as catalysts.

Black holes may catalyze cosmological phase transitions.
filled with large inflaton energy

Studies on phase transitions in the early Universe around a black hole was pioneered by Hiscock in 1987. He assumed that the black hole mass does not change during bubble nucleation around a black hole.

More recently, Gregory, Moss, \& Withers (2014) revisited the problem. They started with a Schwarzschild de Sitter space and considered nucleation of a thin-wall bubble of true vacuum with a remnant black hole in the center. cf Coleman De Luccia (1980)

They calculated Euclidean actions before and after bubble nucleation, postulating that the nucleation rate is given by

$$
\Gamma=A e^{-B}, \quad B=I_{\odot}-I_{S d S}
$$

Euclidean action of a true vacuum bubble surrounded by false vacuum with a BH in the center

They have taken the effects of conical deficits properly, obtaining a term proportional to the surface area of the horizon.

They have also observed that the BH mass may change.

## Formation of a false vacuum bubble around a black hole emitting Hawking radiation.

As the Hawking temperature increases to the mass scale $m$ of a Higgs-like scalar field $\phi$, its symmetry is restored and a false vacuum bubble is created around the black hole and supported by outward pressure.

Moss (1985)

$$
\Lambda=0
$$



The outer geometry remains the same Schwarzschild space even after the phase transition, thanks to the Birkhoff's theorem.



Particles coupled to $\phi$ are massless inside the bubble and reflected by the wall unless they have a large enough energy.

With appropriate choices of couplings we find thermalized radiation inside the bubble with temperature $T$ substantially smaller than the Hawking temperature, and the radius of the bubble is determined by thermodynamic consideration.

$$
r_{w}=\sqrt{\frac{3 q}{2}} \frac{m}{\varepsilon^{2}}, T=6 \sqrt{2} \frac{\varepsilon^{2}}{m} \quad \begin{aligned}
& q \text { : coupling parameter } O(1) \\
& \varepsilon^{4} \gg T^{4} \text { is possible. }
\end{aligned}
$$

Geometries $d s^{2}=-f_{ \pm}(r) d t^{2}+\frac{d r^{2}}{f_{ \pm}(r)}+r^{2} \underset{3 \text {-sphere }}{d \Omega^{2}}$


Outer Schwarzschild
$f_{+}=1-\frac{2 G M_{+}}{r}$
Inner Schwarzschild de Sitter

$$
f_{-}=1-\frac{2 G M_{-}}{r}-H^{2} r^{2}
$$

Wall trajectory

$$
\left(t_{ \pm}(\tau), r_{ \pm}(\tau), \theta, \phi\right)
$$

$\tau$ : proper time of the wall

$$
r_{+}=r_{-} \equiv R \quad d \tau^{2}=f_{ \pm}(R) d t^{2}-\frac{d R^{2}}{f_{ \pm}(R)}-R^{2} d \Omega^{2} \quad f_{ \pm}(R) \dot{t}_{ \pm}^{2}(\tau)-\frac{\dot{R}_{ \pm}^{2}(\tau)}{f_{ \pm}(R)}=1
$$

We analyze the dynamics of this thin wall shell using Israel's formalism and consider its quantum tunneling to form a wormhole configuration.

## Israel's junction condition

normal vector $n_{ \pm} \propto d(r-R(\tau)) \propto\left(-\dot{R}, \dot{t}_{ \pm}, 0,0\right) \quad \dot{t}=\frac{\partial t}{\partial \tau}$


Extrinsic curvature

$$
\begin{aligned}
& K_{ \pm \theta \theta}=r f_{ \pm}(r) n_{r} \equiv r \beta_{ \pm} \\
& \beta_{ \pm}=f_{ \pm}(R) n_{r \pm}=f_{ \pm}(R) \dot{t}_{ \pm}
\end{aligned}
$$

Junction condition

$$
K_{+\theta \theta}-K_{-\theta \theta}=-4 \pi G \sigma R
$$

$$
\beta_{-}-\beta_{+}=4 \pi G \sigma R \equiv \Sigma R
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \beta_{-}-\beta_{+}=4 \pi G \sigma R \equiv \Sigma R \\
& \text { square } \\
& f_{ \pm}(R) \dot{t}_{ \pm}^{2}(\tau)-\frac{\dot{R}_{ \pm}^{2}(\tau)}{f_{ \pm}(R)}=1 \quad \beta_{ \pm}^{2}=f_{ \pm}^{2}(R) \dot{t}_{ \pm}^{2}=f_{ \pm}^{2}+\dot{R}^{2}
\end{aligned}
$$

an Analogue of "energy conservation"

$$
M_{+}=M_{-}+\frac{4 \pi}{3} R^{3} \varepsilon^{4}+4 \pi \underbrace{4 \pi R^{2} \frac{\beta_{+}+\beta_{-}}{2}}
$$

$$
M_{+} \cong M_{-}+\frac{4 \pi}{3} r_{w}^{3} \varepsilon^{4} \quad \text { from the initial condition of the bubble. }
$$

$$
\begin{array}{cc}
\beta_{-}-\beta_{+} & =4 \pi G \sigma R \equiv \Sigma R \\
\begin{array}{c}
\text { square } \\
\text { square }
\end{array} & \begin{array}{c}
\beta_{+}=f_{+}(R) \dot{t}_{+}= \pm \sqrt{f_{+}^{2}+\dot{R}^{2}} \\
\beta_{-}=f_{-}(R) \dot{t}_{-}= \pm \sqrt{f_{-}^{2}+\dot{R}^{2}}
\end{array} \\
\left(\frac{d z}{d \tau^{\prime}}\right)^{2}-\frac{\gamma^{2}}{1-s} \frac{1}{2}-\left(\frac{1-z^{3}}{z^{2}}\right)^{2}=-\frac{\gamma^{2}}{\left(2 G M_{+} \chi\right)^{\frac{3}{2}}(1-s)^{\frac{3}{2}}} \\
\text { "potential" } \mathrm{V}(\mathrm{z})
\end{array}
$$

Thin shell's motion $=1$ dimensional system in quantum field theory

$$
\begin{gathered}
Z \equiv \frac{\chi^{2 / 3}}{\left(2 G M_{+}\right)^{1 / 3}(1-s)^{1 / 3}} R \quad \tau^{\prime}=\frac{\chi^{2}}{8 \pi G \sigma} \tau \quad s \equiv \frac{M_{-}}{M_{+}} \\
\chi \equiv\left(H^{2}+\Sigma^{2}\right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \approx \frac{\varepsilon^{2}}{M_{P l}} \\
\quad \gamma \equiv \frac{2 \Sigma}{\chi} \approx \frac{8 \pi G \sigma}{H} \approx \frac{\xi^{4}}{m \varepsilon^{2} M_{P l}} \sim \frac{\varepsilon}{M_{P l}} \ll 1
\end{gathered}
$$

From $\beta_{-}-\beta_{+}=4 \pi G \sigma R \equiv \Sigma R$ we also find

$$
\beta_{ \pm}(r)=\frac{f_{-}(r)-f_{+}(r) \mp 16 \pi^{2} \sigma^{2} r^{2}}{8 \pi \sigma r}
$$

> The sign (and value) of $\beta_{ \pm}$is determined solely by $r$ or $Z$.

$\beta_{+}$changes its sign at $z=1$
$\beta_{-}$changes its sign at

$$
z=\left(1-\gamma^{2} / 2\right)^{-1 / 3} \equiv z_{c}
$$

Since $\gamma^{2} \ll 1$, both changes sign at $Z=1$ within the thickness of the wall.

$$
\gamma=1, s=0.9 \text { for illustrative purpose }
$$

$$
V[z]\left(\frac{d z}{d \tau^{\prime}}\right)^{2}-\frac{\gamma^{2}}{1-s} \frac{1}{z}-\left(\frac{1-z^{3}}{z^{2}}\right)^{2}=-\frac{\gamma^{2}}{\left(2 G M_{+} \chi\right)^{\frac{3}{2}}(1-s)^{\frac{3}{2}}} \equiv E
$$



Radius of the wall

$$
\beta_{ \pm}=f_{ \pm}(R) n_{r \pm}=f_{ \pm}(R) \dot{t}_{ \pm} \quad \text { positive to negative }
$$



A wormhole with a new de Sitter-like domain is created
Wormhole formation by cosmological phase transition has also been discussed by Sato, Sasaki, Kodama, Maeda (1981), Blau, Guendelman, Guth (1987), Berezin, Kuzmin, Tkachev (1987), Ansoldi, Guendelman (2008), Ansodi, Tanaka (2015), Chen, Hu, Yeom (2016) etc.

## Penrose diagram of the Schwarzschild spacetime



I Our World outside the BH horizon
II Inside the Black Hole
III Inside the White Hole
IV Another World causally disconnected from ours

## Our Black Hole $\boldsymbol{-}$ ) Wormhole causal structure



Calculate the Euclidean action following Gregory et al (2014).

$$
\begin{aligned}
& I=I_{\mathcal{B}}+I_{-}+I_{+}+I_{\mathcal{W}} \\
& I_{\mathcal{W}}=-\int_{\mathcal{W}} \mathcal{L}_{m}(g, \phi)=\int_{\mathcal{W}} \sigma \quad \text { Wall } \\
& I_{ \pm}=-\frac{1}{16 \pi G} \int_{\mathcal{M}_{ \pm}} \mathcal{R}-\int_{\mathcal{M}_{ \pm}} \mathcal{L}_{m}(g, \phi)+\frac{1}{8 \pi G} \int_{\partial \mathcal{M}_{ \pm}} K . \text { Bulk } \\
& I_{\mathcal{B}}=-\frac{1}{16 \pi G} \int_{\mathcal{B}} \mathcal{R}+\frac{1}{8 \pi G} \int_{\partial \mathcal{B}} K \quad \begin{array}{l}
\text { Conical deficits (giving rise to } \\
\text { a contribution proportional to } \\
\text { the horizon area) }
\end{array} \\
& B=-\frac{A_{\text {desiter }}}{4 G}-\frac{A_{+B H h o r i z o n}}{4 G}+\int d \tau_{E}\left[\left(2 R-6 G M_{+}\right) \dot{t}_{E+}-\left(2 R-6 G M_{-}\right) \dot{t}_{E-}\right]+\frac{A_{- \text {BHhorizon }}}{4 G}
\end{aligned}
$$

$\Gamma \propto e^{-B} ?$
$B$ as a whole takes a negative value!!

$$
\begin{aligned}
& B=\int d \tau_{E}\left[\left(2 R-6 G M_{+}\right) \dot{t}_{E+}-\left(2 R-6 G M_{-}\right) \dot{t}_{E-}\right]-\frac{A_{\text {desitter }}}{4 G}-\frac{A_{+ \text {BHhorizon }}}{4 G}+\frac{A_{- \text {BHhorizon }}}{4 G} \\
& \equiv B_{\text {tunnel }}-\Delta S \\
& \Delta S=\frac{A_{\text {final }}}{4 G}-\frac{A_{\text {initial }}}{4 G}
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\Gamma \propto e^{-B}=e^{-B_{\text {tunnel }}+\Delta S} \quad e^{\Delta S}=\frac{W_{\text {final }}}{W_{\text {initial }}} \quad \text { \# of states }
$$

may be interpreted as a transition rate from one microscopic state with a statistical weight $1 / W_{\text {initial }}$ to a final state with $W_{\text {final }}$ macroscopic degrees of freedom.

Mathur (2010)
The transition rate from one microscopic state to another microscopic final state is given by $\quad \Gamma_{\text {micro }} \propto e^{-B_{\text {tumel }}} \ll 1$
so semiclassical calculation would be OK.


## Conclusion

Our Universe may have been created from a black hole of previous unioverse !?

