



# X-ray bound on primordial black holes density

Yoshiyuki Inoue (iTHEMS/RIKEN)

PACIFIC 2018 @ Kiroro, Hokkaido, 2018-02-14

# Evidence for Dark Matter



- Multiple evidence of dark matter in various scales
- Cosmological simulations also require dark matter
- What is dark matter?

#### **Dark Matter Candidates from Particle Physics**



## Primordial Black Holes



- Gravitational collapse of the Hubble patch:  $M\sim 4\pi/3~\rho H^{-3}\sim 10^{15}~(t/10^{-23}~s)~g$
- PBH scenarios (e.g., Zel'dovich & Novikov '67; Hawking '71; Carr & Hawking '74; Harada+'16; Inomata+'16; and more)
- See Sasaki, Suyama, Tanaka, & Yokoyama 1801.05235 for the latest review.

#### **Constraints on Primordial Black Holes**



constraints on PBHs from various observations and theory.

## The GW150914 Event



- Binary black hole system with 36 and 29 solar mass BHs.
  - 62 solar mass BH is formed.
  - Evidence of intermediate mass BHs.
- Why such massive?

# Various Scenarios

- isolated binary (e.g. Belczynski et al. 2011; Kinugawa et. al. 2014)
  - Evolution from binary massive stars.
- dense stellar system (e.g. Rodoriguez et al. 2015)
  - Dynamical interaction between BH systems.
- primordial black hole binary

   (e.g. Bird et al. 2016; Sasaki et al. 2016; Clesse & Garcia-Bellido 2017)







# Closer Look at 1-10<sup>3</sup> M<sub>sun</sub>



Ali-Hamoud & Kamionkowski 2017

- Lack of MACHO events (e.g., Tisserand et al. 2007)
- wide binary star systems are not disrupted
   (e.g., Monroy-Rodoriguez & Allen 2014)
- heating of primordial plasma due to accretion onto PBHs
  - →distortion in CMB
     (e.g., Ricotti et al. 2007, Ali-Hamoud & Kamionkowski 2017)

# Another new constraint?



Ali-Hamoud & Kamionkowski 2017

- All the constraints need assumptions.
  - complex accretion systems
  - complex IGM heating,,,

#### Constraints from X-ray observations (Carr 1979; Barrow & Silk 1979; Gaggero et al. 2017; Inoue & Kusenko 2017)

## **Bondi-Hoyle-Lyttleton Accretion**



- If a black hole freely floats, it may interact with interstellar medium (ISM) gas via Bondi-Hoyle-Lyttleton accretion (e.g., Ipser & Price 1977; Fujita et al. 1998; Agol & Kamionkowski 2002; Mii & Totani 2005; Ioka et al. 2017; Matsumoto et al. 2017)
- Accretion rates scale with n & v<sup>-3</sup>:

$$\dot{M} = 4\pi r_B^2 \tilde{v} \rho = \frac{4\pi G^2 M_{\rm BH}^2 n \,\mu \,m_p}{\tilde{v}^3}$$

#### **Accretion Disk Formation**



- Perturbations in the ISM gas density/velocity will form a disk (Shapiro & Lightman 1976; Fujita et al. 1998; Agol & Kamionkowski 2002)
- Density fluctuation in ISM gas (Armstrong et al. 1995):

 $\delta \rho / \rho \sim (L/10^{18} \text{ cm})^{1/3}$ 

• Accretion disk size:  $\frac{r_{\text{disk}}}{r_s} = \frac{1}{16} \left(\frac{\delta\rho}{\rho}|_{L=2r_B}\right)^2 \frac{r_B}{r_s}$  $\simeq 2.5 \times 10^6 \left(\frac{M_{\text{BH}}}{100M_{\odot}}\right)^{2/3} \left(\frac{\tilde{v}}{10 \text{ km s}^{-1}}\right)^{-10/3}$ 

#### **Emission from X-ray Binary Accretion Disks**



- X-ray binary (XRB):
  - mass accretion from a companion star to a BH
  - significant emission in X-ray



#### Number density of X-ray emitting PBHs

$$\frac{dN}{d\dot{M}} = N_{\text{PBH,disk}} \int_{n_{\min}}^{n_{\max}} dn \int_{0}^{\infty} dv \frac{df_n}{dn} \frac{df_v}{dv} \delta[\dot{M}(n,v) - \dot{M}]$$

Agol & Kamionkowski 2002

- To compare X-ray binary number density with X-ray emitting PBHs,
  - we need mass accretion rate (or luminosity) function.
    - NPBH: Number of PBHs in a galactic disk
    - df<sub>n</sub>/dn : ISM gas distribution
    - $df_v/dv$  : PBH velocity distribution

#### **PBH Density and Velocity Distribution**

- Navarro-Frenk-White (NFW) profile
- Assume Maxwellian distribution for velocity



- w/ velocity dispersion of 150 km/s (Ling et al. 2010a)
- Galaxy mergers may form a "dark matter" disk (Read et al. 2008, 2009)
  - density of dark disk: 0.25-1.5 of the non-rotating DM density
  - with low velocity dispersion 50 km/s (Read et al. 2008, 2009; Bruch et al. 2009; Ling 2010b)

**ISM Gas Distribution** 



- We need the volume of the Galaxy filled by gas
- We consider molecular gas, HI gas, central molecular zone (CMZ)
  - We assume power-law distribution (e.g., Berkhuijsen 1999)

# **Expected Luminosity Function**



• We assume radiative efficiency scales with accretion rate as

$$\epsilon(\dot{M}) = 0.1/[1.0 + (\dot{M}/0.01\dot{M}_{\rm Edd})^{-1}]$$

- Broken power-law shape is expected due to radiative efficiencies and ISM distributions.
- However, PBH X-ray luminosity function should not overproduce observed XRBs.

# **Distribution of X-ray Binaries**



- XRB luminosity function is known to be correlated with star formation rate (e.g., Mineo et al. 2012)
- A simple power-law (γ~1.6) + cutoff (10<sup>40</sup> erg/s)
   (e.g. Grimm et al. 2003; Swartz et al. 2011; Walton et al. 2011; Mineo et al. 2012).

**Comparison with XRB Luminosity Function** 



• Assume the Milky way (SFR =  $1 M_{sun}/yr$ )

- constraints come from high luminosities
  - radiation feedback (e.g., Fukue & Ioroi 1999) will loosen constraints, but only at ~L<sub>Edd</sub>

#### New Constraint on PBHs from X-ray



- $\Omega_{PBH} = \Omega_{DM}$  is excluded at stellar and intermediate mass ranges
  - PBHs scenarios for LIGO events are still viable (see e.g., Sasaki et al. 2017)
- Similar constraints are obtained by independent study by Gaggero et al. 2017

## **Contamination of Neutron Stars**



Casares et al. 2017

- Some XRBs are hosted by neutron stars.
  - 3 ULXs powered by pulsars (Bachetti et al. 2014; Israel et al. 2016a,b, Fuerst et al. 2016).
- need to understand the "BH" XRB population.



## Summary

- Primordial black holes will shine in X-ray through the Bondi-Hoyle-Lyttleton accretion process.
  - X-ray binary observations put tight constraints on the PBH abundance.
  - $\Omega_{PBH} = \Omega_{DM}$  is excluded at stellar and intermediate mass ranges.
    - PBH scenarios for LIGO events are still viable.